

ADDENDUM 3: February 5, 2015
Distributed Generation RFP Supplemental Questions

1) In your RFP under III. Area of Interest page 2 under 2 word “Availability of land” – we are not sure what you mean from availability of land? Please elaborate on it?

Is there sufficient land available at specific sites within the City’s distribution system to support a proposed distributed generation project?

2) IV 1.a – Sites within the member’s distribution system that will support various DG technologies. It is an open ended request. We are currently doing DG Impacts Study for Vernon and Vernon staff provided us the potential locations of DGs on 10 circuits we are studying. Can we assume and expect similar support from the APU staff? Otherwise it will be very time consuming and expensive to drive around in the town and look for every site. If no assistance is provided by APU then can we limit our proposal to maximum of 20 potential sites with the city? Please advise.

Anaheim may identify a limited number of sites for evaluation, but we do expect respondents to identify alternative sites within Anaheim without support from Staff. Respondent is free to limit the number of potential sites, but all limitations will be included in the evaluation process. Use of applications such as Google Earth to limit travel costs is acceptable.

3) IV1.f – cost analysis of each technology. Certain technologies have limited size commercially available or have no potential in the city such as wind. In that case cost comparison will boil down to Solar PVs , micro-turbines and reciprocating engines in 1 to 10 Mw size DGs. This is one example and below 1 MW will be more DG technologies for cost comparison. If we base our proposal on that – would that be acceptable?

Cost comparisons are expected to be site specific and the technology proposed should be limited only to what the respondent believes is appropriate for that site.